Case	:23-cv-01357-CAB-BLM	Document 147 of 55	Filed 10/08/24	PageID.2682	Page 1	
1 2 3 4 5	CLAUDIA G. SILVA, ANDREI DUMITRES OFFICE OF COUNTY 1600 Pacific Highway, San Diego, CA 92101 619-531-6229 Email: andrei.dumitres	CU, Senior Dep COUNSEL, S. Room 355	uty (State Bar N AN DIEGO	lo. 320456)		
6 7 8 9 10 11	Rada Feldman, Esq. (S Adam A. Ainslie, Esq. ( Sofia Torrez, Esq. (Star COLLINS + COLLINS 2011 Palomar Airport I Carlsbad, CA 92011 (760) 274-2110 - FAX ( Email: rfeldman@ccllp	(State Bar No. 3 te Bar No. 3553 S LLP Road, Suite 207 760) 274-2111	511427) 21)	ez@ccllp.law		
12 13 14 15 16 17	Attorneys for Defendants COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, BILL GORE, KELLY MARTINEZ, JON MONTGOMERY, CHRISTINA GOODALL (sued as CHRISTINA ANOSIKE), MIGUEL AGUILERA, JASON VILADIU, GUSTAVO MARTINEZ, JEFF AMADO, MICHAEL MOSER, ERNESTO AGUIRRE, TREYVONNE JAMES (sued in duplicate J. TREYVONNE), BERNARDO ROMERO, MICHAEL JOHNSON, ANDREW TORRES, ALLEN WERESKI, BLADE ROMANS, AND LINDA GUTIERREZ					
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
19	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
20 21 22	JUSTINO RUPARD, et Plain	tiffs,	CASE NO. 3:23- Assigned to Hon Magistrate Hon. 15A	. Cathy Ann B	encivengo;	
23 24 25	vs. COUNTY OF SAN DIE	GO, et al.,	COUNTY DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT			
26 27 28	Defer		Complaint Filec FAC Filed: SAC Filed: Trial Date:	1: 07/26/2023 11/21/2023 03/07/2024 02/02/2026		
COLLINS + COLLINS up 2011 Palomar Airport Rd., Suite 207, Carlsbad, CA 92011 T: (760) 274-2100 F: (760) 274-2111	25163 1 ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT					

207, Carlsbad, CA 92011	
T: (760) 274-2100	
F: (760) 274-2111	

1 Defendants COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, BILL GORE, KELLY MARTINEZ, JON MONTGOMERY, CHRISTINA GOODALL (sued as CHRISTINA ANOSIKE), 2 3 MIGUEL AGUILERA, JASON VALADIU, GUSTAVO MARTINEZ, DANIEL 4 SCHMITZ, JEFF AMADO, MORGAN ACKERMAN, KRISTOPHER KEY, MICHAEL MOSER, ERNESTO AGUIRRE, TREYVONNE JAMES (sued in 5 duplicate J. TREYVONNE), BERNARDO ROMERO, MICHAEL JOHNSON, 6 ANDREW TORRES, CODY DELANEY, TIMOTHY EVERSOLL, ALLEN 7 WERESKI, BLADE ROMANS, AND LINDA GUTIERREZ (collectively, "County" 8 9 Defendants") hereby answer Plaintiffs JUSTINO RUPARD, individually, and RONNIE LUPARD, individually (collectively, "Plaintiffs") Second Amended 10 Complaint ("SAC") by admitting, denying, and alleging as follows: 11

12

#### **INTRODUCTION**

13 1. Answering paragraph 1, County Defendants are without sufficient
14 knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained
15 in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.

16 2. Answering paragraph 2, County Defendants admit that Decedent died on
17 March 17, 2022 while at SDCJ. As to the remainder, this paragraph sets forth legal
18 conclusions and questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an
19 answer is required, County Defendants deny each and every allegation contained
20 therein.

3. Answering paragraph 3, County Defendants are without sufficient
knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained
in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.

4. Answering paragraph 4, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
County Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.

27 5. Answering paragraph 5, County Defendants are without sufficient
28 knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained

25163

in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.

Answering paragraph 6, County Defendants are without sufficient 2 6. knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained 3 4 in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation. To the extent the 5 allegations of paragraph 6 are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of 6 7 the documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants 8 deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny 9 Plaintiffs' framing of these issues.

10 7. Answering paragraph 7, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
11 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
12 County Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.

8. Answering paragraph 8, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
County Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.

9. Answering paragraph 9, County Defendants state that this paragraph is a
request by Plaintiffs for a jury trial and such a request does not require a response.

18

1

# JURISDICTION AND VENUE

19 10. Answering paragraph 10, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
20 questions of law to which no response is required.

21 11. Answering paragraph 11, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
22 questions of law to which no response is required.

12. Answering paragraph 12, County Defendants are without sufficient
knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained
in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.

13. Answering paragraph 13, admit that the Medical Examiner's report was
released on March 2, 2023. The remainder of this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions
and questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is

25163

1 || required, County Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.

14. Answering paragraph 14, County Defendants admit that Justino Rupard
filed a tort claim on March 9, 2023, but deny the remainder of the allegations. To the
extent this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and questions of law to which no
response is required, Defendants assert no response. To the extent an answer is
required, Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.

7 15. Answering paragraph 15, admit that Ronnie Rupard filed a tort claim on
8 March 10, 2023, but deny the remainder of the allegations. To the extent this paragraph
9 sets forth legal conclusions and questions of law to which no response is required,
10 Defendants assert no response. To the extent an answer is required, Defendants deny
11 each and every allegation contained therein.

12 16. Answering paragraph 16,this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
13 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
14 County Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein..

15 17. Answering paragraph 17, County Defendants are without sufficient
16 knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained
17 in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.

18 18. Answering paragraph 18, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
19 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
20 County Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.

21 19. Answering paragraph 19, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
22 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
23 County Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.

24 20. Answering paragraph 20, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
25 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
26 County Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein. To the extent
27 the allegations of paragraph 20 are based on the contents of written documents, County
28 Defendants admit that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of

the documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants
 deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny
 Plaintiffs' framing of these issues.

4

4 21. Answering paragraph 21, to the extent the allegations of paragraph 21 are
5 based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent
6 such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist,
7 and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of
8 these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues.

9 22. Answering paragraph 22, County Defendants admit that Ronnie Rupard
10 filed an application for leave on March 10, 2023. County Defendants deny the
11 remainder of the allegations. This paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and questions
12 of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required, County
13 Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.

14 23. Answering paragraph 23, County Defendants deny each and every
15 allegation contained therein. This paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and questions
16 of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required, County
17 Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.

18 24. Answering paragraph 24, County Defendants admit that venue is proper
19 in this district. To the extent this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and questions
20 of law to which no response is required, Defendants assert no response. To the extent
21 an answer is required, Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.

22

25163

#### **PARTIES**

23 25. Answering paragraph 25, County Defendants are without sufficient
24 knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained
25 in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.

26 26. Answering paragraph 26, County Defendants are without sufficient
27 knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained
28 in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.

27. Answering paragraph 27, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
 County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief
 as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny
 each and every allegation.

28. 6 Answering paragraph 28, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and 7 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required, County Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein. To the extent the 8 9 allegations of paragraph 28 are based on the contents of written documents, County 10 Defendants admit that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of 11 the documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny 12 Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. 13

14 29. Answering paragraph 29, County Defendants admit the County of San
15 Diego is a governmental entity.

30. Answering paragraph 30, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
County Defendants admit that Gore was the Sheriff for the San Diego County Sheriff's
Department and retired on February 3, 2022, and deny the remaining allegations set
forth in said paragraph.

31. Answering paragraph 31, paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
County Defendants admit that Martinez was the Undersheriff and Acting Sheriff for
the San Diego County Sheriff's Department, and deny the remaining allegations set
forth in said paragraph.

32. Answering paragraph 32, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief

**COLLINS + COLLINS** 2011 Palomar Airport Rd., Suite 207, Carlsbad, CA 92011 T: (760) 274-2100 F: (760) 274-2111

as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny
 each and every allegation.

3 33. Answering paragraph 33, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief
as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny
each and every allegation.

34. Answering paragraph 34, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
County Defendants admit that Montgomery was the Chief Medical Officer for the San
Diego County Sheriff's Department. County Defendants deny the third sentence of
paragraph 34. To the remainder of the paragraph, County Defendants are without
sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations
contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.

35. Answering paragraph 35, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief
as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny
each and every allegation.

36. Answering paragraph 36, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
County Defendants admit CHP was a contracted psychiatric provider for the jails in
San Diego County. As to the remainder, County Defendants are without sufficient
knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained
in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.

26 37. Answering paragraph 37, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
27 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
28 County Defendants Christina Goodall (sued as Christina Anosike), was working as a

**COLLINS + COLLINS** 2011 Palomar Airport Rd., Suite 207, Carlsbad, CA 92011 T: (760) 274-2100 F: (760) 274-2111 25163

mental health clinician at SDCJ for the San Diego County Sheriff's Department, and
 deny the remaining allegations set forth in this paragraph.

3 38. Answering paragraph 38, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
County Defendants admit Anthony Cruz MD is a psychiatrist and was working at
SDCJ. County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a
belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis
deny each and every allegation.

39. Answering paragraph 39, this paragraph sets forth allegations that relate
to Ben Samonte who has been dismissed pursuant to Plaintiffs' election to proceed on
the SAC following the Court's Dismissal Order and thus, no longer at issue for
purposes of the operative SAC and to which no response is required. As to the
remaining allegations, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and questions of law
to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required, County
Defendants deny the allegations.

40. Answering paragraph 40, this paragraph sets forth allegations that relate
to May Ng who has been dismissed pursuant to Plaintiffs' election to proceed on the
SAC following the Court's Dismissal Order and thus, no longer at issue for purposes
of the operative SAC and to which no response is required. As to the remaining
allegations, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and questions of law to which
no response is required. To the extent an answer is required, County Defendants deny
the allegations.

41. Answering paragraph 41, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
County Defendants admit Liberty Healthcare was the contracted psychiatric provider
for the jails in San Diego County. County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge
or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said
paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.

**COLLINS + COLLINS** μν 2011 Palomar Airport Rd., Suite 207, Carlsbad, CA 92011 Τ: (760) 274-2100 F: (760) 274-2111 25163

1 42. Answering paragraph 42, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and 2 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required, 3 County Defendants deny that Schmitz, D. #3787, Ackerman, M. #5994, Key, K. 4 #3929, Moser, M. #0525, Delaney, C. #0749, Treyvonne, J., Eversoll, T. #3669, and 5 Wereski, A. #4047 worked shifts in housing unit "7D" between March 15, 2022 and the time of Lonnie's death on March 17, 2022. To the remainder of the paragraph, 6 7 County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief 8 as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny 9 each and every allegation.

43. 10 Answering paragraph 43, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required, 11 12 County Defendants deny that Schmitz, D. #3787, Ackerman, M. #5994, Key, K. 13 #3929, Moser, M. #0525, Delaney, C. #0749, Treyvonne, J., Eversoll, T. #3669, and Wereski, A. #4047 worked shifts in housing unit "7D" between March 15, 2022 and 14 the time of Lonnie's death on March 17, 2022. To the remainder of the paragraph, 15 County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief 16 17 as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny 18 each and every allegation.

Answering paragraph 44, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and 19 44. questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required, 20 County Defendants deny that Schmitz, D. #3787, Ackerman, M. #5994, Key, K. 21 #3929, Moser, M. #0525, Delaney, C. #0749, Treyvonne, J., Eversoll, T. #3669, and 22 Wereski, A. #4047 worked shifts in housing unit "7D" between March 15, 2022 and 23 the time of Lonnie's death on March 17, 2022. To the remainder of the paragraph, 24 County Defendants have insufficient knowledge or information concerning the 25 allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny the allegations. 26

45. Answering paragraph 45, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,

COLLINS + COLLINS up 2011 Palomar Airport Rd., Suite 207, Carlsbad, CA 92011 T: (760) 274-2100 F: (760) 274-2111

County Defendants have insufficient knowledge or information concerning the
 allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny the allegations.

- 46. Answering paragraph 46, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
  questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
  County Defendants have insufficient knowledge or information concerning the
  allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny the allegations.
- 47. Answering paragraph 47, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
  questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
  County Defendants have insufficient knowledge or information concerning the
  allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny the allegations.
- 48. Answering paragraph 48, County Defendants admit the SDCJ is owned
  and operated by San Diego County. This paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
  questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
  County Defendants have insufficient knowledge or information concerning the
  allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny the allegations.
- 16

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

49. Answering paragraph 49, County Defendants admit Lonnie Rupard died
on March 17, 2022 while in custody at SDJC. As to remaining allegations, this
paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and questions of law to which no response is
required. To the extent an answer is required, County Defendants have insufficient
knowledge or information concerning the allegations contained in said paragraph, and
on that basis deny the allegations.

50. Answering paragraph 50, County Defendants are without sufficient
knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained
in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation. To the extent the
allegations of paragraph 50 are based on the contents of written documents, County
Defendants admit that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of
the documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants

deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny
 Plaintiffs' framing of these issues.

3 51. Answering paragraph 51, County Defendants deny each and every
4 allegation contained therein.

5 52. Answering paragraph 52, County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained 6 7 in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation. To the extent the 8 allegations of paragraph 52 are based on the contents of written documents, County 9 Defendants admit that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of 10 the documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants 11 deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. 12

13 53. Answering paragraph 53, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
14 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
15 County Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.

16 54. Answering paragraph 54, to the extent the allegations of paragraph 54 are
17 based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent
18 such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist,
19 and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of
20 these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. Except as so
21 expressly admitted, County Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in
22 paragraph 54.

55. Answering paragraph 55, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
County Defendants admit that Decedent was not evaluated by PERT and deny the
remainder.

27 56. Answering paragraph 56, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
28 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,

25163

1 County Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.

2 57. Answering paragraph 57, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
3 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
4 County Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.

5 58. Answering paragraph 58, County Defendants admit that Decedent was
not screened by a medical doctor at intake. Except as so expressly admitted, County
7 Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the
truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and
every allegation.

10 59. Answering paragraph 59, County Defendants admit that Decedent was
11 not evaluated by the PSU. Except as so expressly admitted, County Defendants have
12 insufficient knowledge or information concerning the remaining allegations contained
13 in said paragraph, and on that basis deny the allegations.

60. Answering paragraph 60, admit Decedent was not housed in PSU and was
housed in Administrative Segregation housing. Except as so expressly admitted,
County Defendants have insufficient knowledge or information concerning the
remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny the
allegations.

19 61. Answering paragraph 61, admit that Decedent refused a Psychiatric Sick Call on December 20, 2021. Except as so expressly admitted, County Defendants have 20 insufficient knowledge or information concerning the remaining allegations contained 21 in said paragraph, and on that basis deny the allegations. To the extent the allegations 22 of paragraph 61 are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants 23 admit that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the 24 documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny 25 that Plaintiffs' characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' 26 framing of these issues. Except as so expressly admitted, County Defendants deny each 27 and every allegation contained in paragraph 61. 28

62. Answering paragraph 62, County Defendants admit Decedent was
 scheduled to be seen for a psych evaluation on December 24, 2021 with Liberty
 Healthcare Psychiatrist Dr. Cruz but was not seen due to time constraints. Except as so
 expressly admitted, County Defendants deny each and every allegation in said
 paragraph.

6 63. Answering paragraph 63, County Defendants admit Decedent was
7 scheduled to be seen for a psych evaluation with Liberty Healthcare Psychiatrist Dr.
8 Cruz on December 28, 2021 but was not seen due to time constraints.

9 64. Answering paragraph 64, County Defendants admit that on December 29,
10 2021, Decedent had an initial psychiatric evaluation with Liberty Healthcare
11 Psychiatrist Dr. Cruz.

Answering paragraph 65, County Defendants are without sufficient 12 65. 13 knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation. To the extent the 14 allegations of paragraph 65 are based on the contents of written documents, County 15 Defendants admit that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of 16 the documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants 17 deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny 18 Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. Except as so expressly admitted, County Defendants 19 deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 65. 20

66. Answering paragraph 66, to the extent the allegations of paragraph 66 are
based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent
such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist,
and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of
these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. Except as so
expressly admitted, County Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in
paragraph 66.

28 || ///

25163

COLLINS + COLLINS up 2011 Palomar Airport Rd., Suite 207, Carlsbad, CA 92011 T: (760) 274-2100 F: (760) 274-2111

Answering paragraph 67, to the extent the allegations of paragraph 67 are 1 67. based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent 2 3 such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist, 4 and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of 5 these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. Except as so expressly admitted, County Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in 6 7 paragraph 67. As to the remainder, County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained 8 9 in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.

68. Answering paragraph 68, to the extent the allegations of paragraph 68 are
based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent
such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist,
and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of
these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. Except as so
expressly admitted, County Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in
paragraph 68.

69. Answering paragraph 69, admit that Dr. Cruz performed a Chart Check 17 18 for Decedent and discontinued Haldol, Congentin, and VPA for Decedent. To the 19 extent the allegations of paragraph 69 are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the 20 contents of the documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County 21 Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these documents is accurate, and 22 deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. Except as so expressly admitted, County 23 Defendants County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to 24 form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that 25 basis deny each and every allegation. 26

27 70. Answering paragraph 70, County Defendants admit that Dr. Cruz did not
28 refer Decedent to the PSU. Except as so admitted, County Defendants are without

sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations
 contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.

71. Answering paragraph 71, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief
as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny
each and every allegation.

8 72. Answering paragraph 72, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
9 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
10 County Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.

11 73. Answering paragraph 73, to the extent the allegations of paragraph 73 are
12 based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent
13 such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist,
14 and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of
15 these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. Except as so
16 expressly admitted, County Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in
17 paragraph 73.

18 74. Answering paragraph 74, admit that Decedent was not referred to the
19 PSU. Except as so expressly admitted, County Defendants deny each and every
20 allegation contained therein.

75. Answering paragraph 75, to the extent the allegations of paragraph 75 are
based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent
such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist,
and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of
these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. Except as so
expressly admitted, County Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in
paragraph 75.

28 || ///

Answering paragraph 76, County Defendants admit that on February 9, 1 76. 2022, mental health clinician Christina Goodall (sued as Christina Anosike) completed 2 a wellness check of Decedent.

3

4 77. Answering paragraph 77, County Defendants admit that on February 9, 5 2022, mental health clinician Christina Goodall (sued as Christina Anosike) completed a wellness check of Decedent and Anosike's notes provide that deputies on the 7th 6 7 floor reported that Decedent often spoke to himself in unintelligible words. To the extent the allegations of paragraph 77 are based on the contents of written documents, 8 9 County Defendants admit that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the 10 contents of the documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County 11 Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. Except as so expressly admitted, County 12 13 Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 77.

78. Answering paragraph 78, admit that Anosike notes provide that she was 14 unable to fully assess Decedent due to refusal and/or inability to cooperate and that 15 note his thoughts were "impoverished" but that he was oriented to person, place, and 16 situation. To the extent the allegations of paragraph 78 are based on the contents of 17 18 written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent such allegations 19 accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these 20 documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. Except as so 21 expressly admitted, County Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in 22 paragraph 78. 23

79. Answering paragraph 79, County Defendants admit that Goodall (sued as 24 Christina Anosike) did not refer Decedent to be assessed by a medical doctor but deny 25 the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph as to Goodall (sued as Christina 26 Anosike). As to remainder, County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or 27 information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said 28

1 paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.

2 80. Answering paragraph 80, County Defendants admit that Goodall (sued as 3 Christina Anosike) did not request Decedent to be assessed by a medical doctor or for 4 vitals to be taken but deny the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph as to 5 Goodall (sued as Christina Anosike). As to Cruz, County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations 6 7 contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation. ). As to remainder, County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to 8 9 form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation. 10

11 81. Answering paragraph 81, County Defendants deny the allegations
12 contained in said paragraph.

82. 13 Answering paragraph 82, admit that Decedent was on lockdown on February 20, 2022. To the extent the allegations of paragraph 82 are based on the 14 contents of written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent such 15 16 allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these 17 18 documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. Except as so expressly admitted, County Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in 19 paragraph 82. 20

83. Answering paragraph 83, County Defendants admit that on February 22, 21 2022, Decedent was evaluated by Liberty Healthcare Psychiatrist Dr. Cruz for a 22 Psychiatric Sick Call assessment, and that Dr. Cruz noted that multiple attempts were 23 made to engage Decedent but that he was uncooperative and refused to participate and 24 rambled incoherently and became verbally aggressive. To the extent the allegations of 25 paragraph 83 are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit 26 that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such 27 statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' 28

COLLINS + COLLINS up 2011 Palomar Airport Rd., Suite 207, Carlsbad, CA 92011 T: (760) 274-2100 F: (760) 274-2111

characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these
 issues. Except as so expressly admitted, County Defendants are without sufficient
 knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained
 in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.

5 84. Answering paragraph 84, County Defendants admit that on February 22, 2022, Decedent was evaluated by Liberty Healthcare Psychiatrist Dr. Cruz for a 6 7 Psychiatric Sick Call assessment, and to the extent the allegations of paragraph 84 are 8 based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent 9 such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist, 10 and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of 11 these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. Except as so expressly admitted, County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or 12 13 information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation. 14

85. Answering paragraph 85, County Defendants admit that Decedent was 15 not transferred to the PSU as of February 22, 2022 and that vitals or weight were not 16 documented. Except as so expressly admitted, County Defendants have insufficient 17 18 knowledge or information concerning the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny the allegations. To the extent the allegations of 19 paragraph 85 are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit 20 that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such 21 statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' 22 characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these 23 issues. 24

86. Answering paragraph 86, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
County Defendants admit that psychiatrist Dr. Cruz evaluated Decedent on February
22, 2022. Except as expressly admitted, County Defendants deny the remaining

allegation contained in this paragraph. To the extent the remaining allegations of 1 paragraph 86 are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit 2 3 that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such 4 statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' 5 characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these 6 issues. County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a 7 belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, and on 8 that basis deny each and every allegation contained therein.

9 87. Answering paragraph 87, County Defendants admit that Dr. Cruz's 10 assessment noted that there were no signs requiring immediate psychiatric 11 intervention at the time of his visit and that Dr. Cruz recommended plan was for a 12 follow-up to occur in 6-7 weeks or sooner, if needed. Except as so expressly admitted, County Defendants have insufficient knowledge or information concerning the 13 remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny the 14 allegations. To the extent the remaining allegations of paragraph 87 are based on the 15 16 contents of written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist, and 17 18 otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these 19 documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues.

88. Answering paragraph 88, County Defendants admit that Decedent was 20 not referred to the PSU. To the extent the remaining allegations of paragraph 87 are 21 based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent 22 such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist, 23 and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of 24 these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. County 25 Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the 26 truth of the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny 27 each and every allegation contained therein. 28

**COLLINS + COLLINS** μP 2011 Palomar Airport Rd., Suite 207, Carlsbad, CA 92011 Τ: (760) 274-2100 F: (760) 274-2111

Answering paragraph 89, County Defendants admit that on February 23, 1 89. 2022, a wellness check appointment with a QMHP for Decedent was scheduled. To 2 3 the extent the remaining allegations of paragraph 89 are based on the contents of 4 written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent such allegations 5 accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these 6 7 documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. Except as so expressly admitted, County Defendants deny the remaining allegations. 8

9 90. Answering paragraph 90, County Defendants admit that on February 23, 10 2022, a wellness check appointment with a QMHP for Decedent was scheduled. To 11 the extent the remaining allegations of paragraph 90 are based on the contents of 12 written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist, and otherwise 13 deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these 14 documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. County Defendants 15 16 are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every 17 18 allegation. Except as so expressly admitted, County Defendants deny each and every 19 allegation contained in said paragraph.

20 91. Answering paragraph 91, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
21 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
22 County Defendants deny the allegations.

92. Answering paragraph 92, County Defendants admit that on March 14,
24 2022, Decedent was evaluated by court-ordered forensic psychiatrist Dr. Nicolas Badre
25 to determine competency to stand trial.

93. Answering paragraph 93, County Defendants admit that Dr. Badre's
report noted that Decedent's cell was dirty with trash throughout, the toilet was full of
excrement, the room was malodorous, feces on the floor, and food smeared on the

25163

walls. To the extent the remaining allegations of paragraph 93 are based on the contents
of written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent such allegations
accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist, and otherwise
deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these
documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues.

Answering paragraph 94, County Defendants admit that Dr. Badre's 6 94. 7 report noted that Decedent was dirty and unkempt, but denied as to remainder. To the 8 extent the remaining allegations of paragraph 94 are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent such allegations accurately 9 10 reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. 11 County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. County Defendants are without 12 13 sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation. 14

95. Answering paragraph 95, County Defendants admit that Dr. Badre's 15 report noted that Decedent was laying in bed in an uncomfortable manner with a 16 blanket over his head. To the extent the remaining allegations of paragraph 95 are 17 18 based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent 19 such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of 20 these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. County 21 Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the 22 truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and 23 every allegation. 24

96. Answering paragraph 96, County Defendants admit that Dr. Badre's
report noted that Dr. Badre asked Decedent why he was incarcerated and in response
to this question, Decedent answered "water dog." To the extent the remaining
allegations of paragraph 96 are based on the contents of written documents, County

25163

Defendants admit that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of
 the documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants
 deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny
 Plaintiffs' framing of these issues.

5 97. Answering paragraph 97, County Defendants admit that Dr. Badre's report noted that Dr. Badre asked Decedent about his charges and in response to this 6 7 question, Decedent answered "dog." To the extent the remaining allegations of paragraph 97 are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit 8 9 that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such 10 statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' 11 characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. 12

98. 13 Answering paragraph 98, denied except that County Defendants admit that Dr. Badre's report noted that Decedent did not answer questions of orientation. To 14 the extent the remaining allegations of paragraph 98 are based on the contents of 15 16 written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist, and otherwise 17 18 deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these 19 documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the 20 allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every 21 allegation. 22

99. Answering paragraph 99, County Defendants admit that Dr. Badre's
report noted that Decedent's speech was pressured and mostly incohertent when he
spoke. To the extent the remaining allegations of paragraph 99 are based on the
contents of written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent such
allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist, and
otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these

**COLLINS + COLLINS** up 2011 Palomar Airport Rd., Suite 207, Carlsbad, CA 92011 T: (760) 274-2100 F: (760) 274-2111 25163

1 documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues.

Answering paragraph 100, County Defendants admit that Dr. Badre's 2 100. 3 report states under findings and opinions that Decedent suffered from mental illness and unable to assist counsel in a rational manner, and denied to remainder. County 4 5 Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the 6 truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and 7 every allegation. To the extent the remaining allegations of paragraph 100 are based 8 on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent such 9 allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these 10 11 documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues.

Answering paragraph 101, County Defendants admit that Dr. Badre's 12 101. report states that he recommended Decedent be referred to a state hospital or JBCT 13 program for restoration to competency and be given medications involuntarily under 14 Penal Code section 1370, and denied to remainder. County Defendants are without 15 16 sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation. To the 17 18 extent the remaining allegations of paragraph 101 are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent such allegations accurately 19 reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. 20 County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these documents is 21 accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. County Defendants are without 22 sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations 23 contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation. 24

25 102. Answering paragraph 102, County Defendants are without sufficient
26 knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained
27 in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.

28 || ///

25163

COLLINS + COLLINS up 2011 Palomar Airport Rd., Suite 207, Carlsbad, CA 92011 T: (760) 274-2100 F: (760) 274-2111 1 103. Answering paragraph 103, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required, 2 3 County Defendants deny that Schmitz, D. #3787, Ackerman, M. #5994, Key, K. 4 #3929, Moser, M. #0525, Delaney, C. #0749, Treyvonne, J., Eversoll, T. #3669, and 5 Wereski, A. #4047 worked shifts in housing unit "7D" between March 15, 2022 and the time of Lonnie's death on March 17, 2022. To the remainder of the paragraph, 6 7 County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief 8 as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny 9 each and every allegation.

10 104. Answering paragraph 104, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
11 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
12 County Defendants deny the allegations.

13 105. Answering paragraph 105, County Defendants admit Decedent was not
14 transferred to PSU. As to remainder, County Defendants are without sufficient
15 knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained
16 in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.

Answering paragraph 106, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and 106. 17 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required, 18 19 County Defendants admit that there is a section in the San Diego County Sheriff's Department Medical Services Division Policies & Procedures Manual providing the 20 procedure for "sick calls," and includes assessment of weight and vital signs, and that 21 the patient has the right, unless ordered by a Court of competent jurisdiction, to refuse 22 the taking of vital signs and/or weight. To the extent the allegations of paragraph 106 23 are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit that to the 24 extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such 25 statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' 26 characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these 27 issues. Except as so expressly admitted, County Defendants deny each and every 28

COLLINS + COLLINS up 2011 Palomar Airport Rd., Suite 207, Carlsbad, CA 92011 T: (760) 274-2100 F: (760) 274-2111

1 allegation contained in paragraph 106.

Answering paragraph 107, County Defendants admit that psychiatry sick 2 107. calls for Decedent were requested on 12/20/21, 1/15/22, 1/20/22, and 2/1/22. Except 3 4 as so expressly admitted, County Defendants deny each and every allegation contained 5 in said paragraph. To the extent the remaining allegations of paragraph 107 are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent such 6 7 allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist, and 8 otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these 9 documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. County Defendants 10 are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the 11 allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation. 12

108. 13 Answering paragraph 108, County Defendants admit that multiple sick calls for Decedent were scheduled. Except as so expressly admitted, County 14 Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in said paragraph. To the extent 15 16 the remaining allegations of paragraph 108 are based on the contents of written 17 documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent such allegations accurately 18 reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these documents is 19 accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. County Defendants are without 20 sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations 21 contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation. 22

109. Answering paragraph 109, County Defendants admit that multiple sick
calls for Decedent were scheduled. Except as so expressly admitted, County
Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in said paragraph. To the extent
the remaining allegations of paragraph 109 are based on the contents of written
documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent such allegations accurately
reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them.

**COLLINS + COLLINS** μ<sub>P</sub> 2011 Palomar Airport Rd., Suite 207, Carlsbad, CA 92011 Τ: (760) 274-2100 F: (760) 274-2111 25163

County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these documents is
 accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. County Defendants are without
 sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations
 contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.

5 110. Answering paragraph 110, County Defendants admit that a hard count was performed for Decedent at approximately 1140 to 1150 on March 17, 2022. Except 6 as so expressly admitted, County Defendants deny each and every allegation contained 7 8 in said paragraph. To the extent the remaining allegations of paragraph 110 are based 9 on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist, and 10 11 otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. County Defendants 12 are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the 13 allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every 14 allegation. 15

16 111. Answering paragraph 111, County Defendants admit that Decedent was found unresponsive in his cell at approximately 2247 on March 17, 2022 with a blanket 17 18 to his chest. To the extent the remaining allegations of paragraph 111 are based on the 19 contents of written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist, and 20 otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these 21 documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. County Defendants 22 are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the 23 allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every 24 allegation. 25

26 112. Answering paragraph 112, to the extent the remaining allegations of
27 paragraph 112 are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants
28 admit that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the

25163

documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny
that Plaintiffs' characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs'
framing of these issues. County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or
information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said
paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.

6 113. Answering paragraph 113, to the extent the remaining allegations of 7 paragraph 113 are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants 8 admit that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the 9 documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny 10 that Plaintiffs' characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' 11 framing of these issues. County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said 12 13 paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.

114. Answering paragraph 114, County Defendants admit an autopsy was 14 performed on March 19, 2022 and that the report was released on March 2, 2023. To 15 the extent the remaining allegations of paragraph 114 are based on the contents of 16 17 written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist, and otherwise 18 19 deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. County Defendants 20 are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the 21 allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every 22 allegation. 23

115. Answering paragraph 115, To the extent the remaining allegations of
paragraph 115 are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants
admit that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the
documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny
that Plaintiffs' characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs'

25163

framing of these issues. County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or
 information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said
 paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.

4 116. Answering paragraph 116, County Defendants admit that vitals were not 5 documented. Except as so expressly admitted, County Defendants have insufficient 6 knowledge or information concerning the remaining allegations contained in said 7 paragraph, and on that basis deny the allegations. To the extent the allegations of 8 paragraph 116 are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants 9 admit that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the 10 documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny 11 that Plaintiffs' characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. 12

13 117. Answering paragraph 117, County Defendants that weight were not documented. Except as so expressly admitted, County Defendants have insufficient 14 knowledge or information concerning the remaining allegations contained in said 15 paragraph, and on that basis deny the allegations. To the extent the allegations of 16 paragraph 117 are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants 17 admit that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the 18 19 documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' 20 framing of these issues. 21

118. Answering paragraph 118, to the extent the remaining allegations of
paragraph 118 are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants
admit that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the
documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny
that Plaintiffs' characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs'
framing of these issues. County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or
information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said

COLLINS + COLLINS up 2011 Palomar Airport Rd., Suite 207, Carlsbad, CA 92011 T: (760) 274-2100 F: (760) 274-2111

1 paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.

2 119. Answering paragraph 119, to the extent the remaining allegations of 3 paragraph 119 are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants 4 admit that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the 5 documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' 6 7 framing of these issues. County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or 8 information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said 9 paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.

120. 10 Answering paragraph 120, to the extent the remaining allegations of 11 paragraph 120 are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants 12 admit that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the 13 documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' 14 framing of these issues. County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or 15 information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said 16 paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation. 17

121. 18 Answering paragraph 121, to the extent the remaining allegations of this paragraph are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit 19 that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such 20 statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' 21 characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these 22 issues. County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a 23 belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis 24 deny each and every allegation. 25

26 122. Answering paragraph 122, to the extent the remaining allegations of this
27 paragraph are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit
28 that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such

statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs'
characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these
issues. County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a
belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis
deny each and every allegation.

Answering paragraph 123, to the extent the remaining allegations of this 6 123. 7 paragraph are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such 8 9 statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these 10 11 issues. County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis 12 deny each and every allegation. 13

124. Answering paragraph 124, to the extent the remaining allegations of this 14 paragraph are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit 15 that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such 16 statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' 17 18 characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these 19 issues. County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis 20 deny each and every allegation. 21

125. Answering paragraph 125, to the extent the remaining allegations of this
paragraph are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit
that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such
statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs'
characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these
issues. County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a
belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis

1 deny each and every allegation.

Answering paragraph 126, to the extent the remaining allegations of this 2 126. paragraph are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit 3 4 that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such 5 statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these 6 issues. County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a 7 8 belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis 9 deny each and every allegation.

127. 10 Answering paragraph 127, to the extent the remaining allegations of this 11 paragraph are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such 12 statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' 13 characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these 14 issues. County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a 15 16 belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation. 17

18 128. Answering paragraph 128, to the extent the remaining allegations of this paragraph are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit 19 that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such 20 statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' 21 characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these 22 issues. County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a 23 belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis 24 deny each and every allegation. 25

26 129. Answering paragraph 129, County Defendants are without sufficient
27 knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained
28 in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.

**COLLINS + COLLINS** 2011 Palomar Airport Rd., Suite 207, Carlsbad, CA 92011 T: (760) 274-2100 F: (760) 274-2111 25163

- 130. Answering paragraph 130, County Defendants are without sufficient
   knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained
   in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.
- 4 131. Answering paragraph 131, County Defendants are without sufficient
  5 knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained
  6 in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.
- 7 132. Answering paragraph 132, County Defendants admit that the California State Auditor issued a report on February 1, 2022. County Defendants deny the 8 9 remaining allegations in said paragraph. To the extent the remaining allegations of this 10 paragraph are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit 11 that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' 12 characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these 13 issues. County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a 14 belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis 15 deny each and every allegation. 16
- 17 133. Answering paragraph 133, County Defendants are without sufficient
  18 knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained
  19 in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.
- 134. Answering paragraph 134, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and 20 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required, 21 County Defendants deny the allegations. To the extent the remaining allegations of this 22 paragraph are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit 23 that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such 24 statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' 25 characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these 26 issues. 27
- 28 || ///

Answering paragraph 135, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and 1 135. questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required, 2 3 County Defendants deny the allegations. To the extent the remaining allegations of this 4 paragraph are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit 5 that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' 6 7 characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. 8

9 136. Answering paragraph 136, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required, 10 11 County Defendants deny the allegations. To the extent the remaining allegations of this paragraph are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit 12 that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such 13 statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' 14 characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these 15 16 issues.

Answering paragraph 137, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and 137. 17 18 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required, County Defendants deny the allegations. To the extent the remaining allegations of this 19 paragraph are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit 20 that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such 21 statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' 22 characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these 23 issues. 24

138. Answering paragraph 138, County Defendants are without sufficient
knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained
in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation. To the extent the
remaining allegations of this paragraph are based on the contents of written documents,

County Defendants admit that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the
 contents of the documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County
 Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' characterization of these documents is accurate, and
 deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues.

5 139. Answering paragraph 139, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required, 6 7 County Defendants deny the allegations. To the extent the remaining allegations of this paragraph are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit 8 9 that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such 10 statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' 11 characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. 12

13 140. Answering paragraph 140, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
14 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
15 County Defendants deny the allegation.

16 141. Answering paragraph 141, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
17 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
18 County Defendants deny the allegation.

19 142. Answering paragraph 142, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
20 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
21 County Defendants deny the allegations except that Hayden Schuck died on March 16,
22 2022.

143. Answering paragraph 143, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
County Defendants deny the allegations. To the extent the remaining allegations of this
paragraph are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit
that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such
statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs'

25163

characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these
 issues. County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a
 belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis
 deny each and every allegation.

5 144. Answering paragraph 144, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required, 6 7 County Defendants deny the allegations. To the extent the remaining allegations of this paragraph are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit 8 9 that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such 10 statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' 11 characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these issues. County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a 12 belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis 13 deny each and every allegation. 14

145. Answering paragraph 145, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and 15 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required, 16 County Defendants deny the allegations. To the extent the remaining allegations of this 17 18 paragraph are based on the contents of written documents, County Defendants admit 19 that to the extent such allegations accurately reflect the contents of the documents, such statements exist, and otherwise deny them. County Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' 20 characterization of these documents is accurate, and deny Plaintiffs' framing of these 21 issues. County Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a 22 belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis 23 deny each and every allegation. 24

146. Answering paragraph 146, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
County Defendants deny the allegations, except that Schuck was housed in Ad Seg for
less than one-day.

**COLLINS + COLLINS** up 2011 Palomar Airport Rd., Suite 207, Carlsbad, CA 92011 T: (760) 274-2100 F: (760) 274-2111

- 147. Answering paragraph 147, County Defendants are without sufficient
   2 knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained
   3 in said paragraph, and on that basis deny each and every allegation.
- 4 148. Answering paragraph 148, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
  5 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
  6 County Defendants deny the allegations.
- 7 149. Answering paragraph 149, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
  8 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
  9 County Defendants deny the allegations.
- 10 150. Answering paragraph 150, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
  11 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
  12 County Defendants deny the allegations.
- 13 151. Answering paragraph 151, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
  14 questions of law to which no response is required.
- 15 152. Answering paragraph 152, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
  16 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
  17 County Defendants deny the allegations.
- 18 153. Answering paragraph 153, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
  19 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
  20 County Defendants deny the allegations.
- 21 154. Answering paragraph 154, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
  22 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
  23 County Defendants deny the allegations.
- 24 155. Answering paragraph 155, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
  25 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
  26 County Defendants deny the allegations.
- 27 156. Answering paragraph 156, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
  28 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,

25163

1 County Defendants deny the allegations.

2 157. Answering paragraph 157, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
3 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
4 County Defendants deny the allegations.

5 158. Answering paragraph 158, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
6 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
7 County Defendants deny the allegations.

8 159. Answering paragraph 159, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
9 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
10 County Defendants deny the allegations.

11 160. Answering paragraph 160, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
12 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
13 County Defendants deny the allegations.

14 161. Answering paragraph 161, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
15 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
16 County Defendants deny the allegations.

17 162. Answering paragraph 162, County Defendants deny generally and18 specifically each and every allegation contained therein.

19 163. Answering paragraph 163, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
20 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
21 County Defendants deny the allegations.

164. Answering paragraph 164, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
County Defendants deny the allegations.

165. Answering paragraph 165, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
County Defendants deny the allegations.

28 || ///

1 166. Answering paragraph 166, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
 2 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
 3 County Defendants deny the allegations.

4 167. Answering paragraph 167, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
5 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
6 County Defendants deny the allegations..

7 168. Answering paragraph 168, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
8 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
9 County Defendants deny the allegations.

169. Answering paragraph 169, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
County Defendants deny the allegations.

13 170. Answering paragraph 170, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
14 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
15 County Defendants deny the allegations.

16 171. Answering paragraph 171, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
17 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
18 County Defendants deny the allegations.

19 172. Answering paragraph 172, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
20 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
21 County Defendants deny the allegations.

173. Answering paragraph 173, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
County Defendants deny the allegations.

174. Answering paragraph 174, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
County Defendants deny the allegations.

28 || ///

175. Answering paragraph 175, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
 County Defendants deny the allegation.

4 176. Answering paragraph 176, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
5 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
6 County Defendants deny the allegations.

7 177. Answering paragraph 177, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
8 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
9 County Defendants deny the allegations.

10 178. Answering paragraph 178, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
11 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
12 County Defendants deny the allegations.

13 179. Answering paragraph 179, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
14 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
15 County Defendants deny the allegations.

16 180. Answering paragraph 180, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
17 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
18 County Defendants deny the allegations.

19 181. Answering paragraph 181, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
20 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
21 County Defendants deny the allegations.

182. Answering paragraph 182, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
County Defendants deny the allegations.

25 ///

26 ////

27 || ///

28 || ///

25163

COLLINS + COLLINS up 2011 Palomar Airport Rd., Suite 207, Carlsbad, CA 92011 T: (760) 274-2100 F: (760) 274-2111

1	I.
2	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
3	42 U.S.C. § 1983: Deliberate Indifference of Serious Medical Needs
4	(By the Estate of Lonnie Rupard Against County Defendants, Liberty
5	Healthcare of California Inc, and Cruz)
6	183. Answering paragraphs 183-205, this paragraph sets forth allegations as to
7	a cause of action that was dismissed per the Court's Dismissal Order to which no
8	response is required. County Defendants incorporate their denials and admissions in
9	the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
10	184. Answering paragraph 184, this paragraph sets forth allegations that have
11	been previously dismissed without leave by this Court pursuant to its Dismissal Order,
12	and which are no longer at issue for purposes of the SAC and thus excluded from the
13	operative SAC.
14	185. Answering paragraph 185, this paragraph sets forth allegations that have
15	been previously dismissed without leave by this Court pursuant to its Dismissal Order,
16	and which are no longer at issue for purposes of the SAC and thus excluded from the
17	operative SAC.
18	186. Answering paragraph 186, this paragraph sets forth allegations that have
19	been previously dismissed without leave by this Court pursuant to its Dismissal Order,
20	and which are no longer at issue for purposes of the SAC and thus excluded from the
21	operative SAC.
22	187. Answering paragraph 187, this paragraph sets forth allegations that have
23	been previously dismissed without leave by this Court pursuant to its Dismissal Order,
24	and which are no longer at issue for purposes of the SAC and thus excluded from the
25	operative SAC.
26	188. Answering paragraph 188, this paragraph sets forth allegations that have
27	been previously dismissed without leave by this Court pursuant to its Dismissal Order,
28	and which are no longer at issue for purposes of the SAC and thus excluded from the
	25163 40

1 || operative SAC.

189. Answering paragraph 189, this paragraph sets forth allegations that have
been previously dismissed without leave by this Court pursuant to its Dismissal Order,
and which are no longer at issue for purposes of the SAC and thus excluded from the
operative SAC.

6 190. Answering paragraph 190, this paragraph sets forth allegations that have
7 been previously dismissed without leave by this Court pursuant to its Dismissal Order,
8 and which are no longer at issue for purposes of the SAC and thus excluded from the
9 operative SAC.

10 191. Answering paragraph 191, this paragraph sets forth allegations that have
11 been previously dismissed without leave by this Court pursuant to its Dismissal Order,
12 and which are no longer at issue for purposes of the SAC and thus excluded from the
13 operative SAC.

14 192. Answering paragraph 192, this paragraph sets forth allegations that have
15 been previously dismissed without leave by this Court pursuant to its Dismissal Order,
16 and which are no longer at issue for purposes of the SAC and thus excluded from the
17 operative SAC.

18 193. Answering paragraph 193, this paragraph sets forth allegations that have
19 been previously dismissed without leave by this Court pursuant to its Dismissal Order,
20 and which are no longer at issue for purposes of the SAC and thus excluded from the
21 operative SAC.

194. Answering paragraph 194, this paragraph sets forth allegations that have
been previously dismissed without leave by this Court pursuant to its Dismissal Order,
and which are no longer at issue for purposes of the SAC and thus excluded from the
operative SAC.

26 195. Answering paragraph 195, this paragraph sets forth allegations that have
27 been previously dismissed without leave by this Court pursuant to its Dismissal Order,
28 and which are no longer at issue for purposes of the SAC and thus excluded from the

1 || operative SAC.

196. Answering paragraph 196, this paragraph sets forth allegations that have
been previously dismissed without leave by this Court pursuant to its Dismissal Order,
and which are no longer at issue for purposes of the SAC and thus excluded from the
operative SAC.

6 197. Answering paragraph 197, this paragraph sets forth allegations that have
7 been previously dismissed without leave by this Court pursuant to its Dismissal Order,
8 and which are no longer at issue for purposes of the SAC and thus excluded from the
9 operative SAC.

10 198. Answering paragraph 198, this paragraph sets forth allegations that have
11 been previously dismissed without leave by this Court pursuant to its Dismissal Order,
12 and which are no longer at issue for purposes of the SAC and thus excluded from the
13 operative SAC.

14 199. Answering paragraph 199, this paragraph sets forth allegations that have
15 been previously dismissed without leave by this Court pursuant to its Dismissal Order,
16 and which are no longer at issue for purposes of the SAC and thus excluded from the
17 operative SAC.

18 200. Answering paragraph 200, this paragraph sets forth allegations that have
19 been previously dismissed without leave by this Court pursuant to its Dismissal Order,
20 and which are no longer at issue for purposes of the SAC and thus excluded from the
21 operative SAC.

201. Answering paragraph 201, this paragraph sets forth allegations that have
23 been previously dismissed without leave by this Court pursuant to its Dismissal Order,
24 and which are no longer at issue for purposes of the SAC and thus excluded from the
25 operative SAC.

26 202. Answering paragraph 202, this paragraph sets forth allegations that have
27 been previously dismissed without leave by this Court pursuant to its Dismissal Order,
28 and which are no longer at issue for purposes of the SAC and thus excluded from the

1	operative SAC.
---	----------------

arlsbad, CA 92011 (760) 274-2100 F· (760) 274-2111

2 203. Answering paragraph 203, this paragraph sets forth allegations that have 3 been previously dismissed without leave by this Court pursuant to its Dismissal Order, 4 and which are no longer at issue for purposes of the SAC and thus excluded from the operative SAC. 5

204. Answering paragraph 204, this paragraph sets forth allegations that have 6 7 been previously dismissed without leave by this Court pursuant to its Dismissal Order, and which are no longer at issue for purposes of the SAC and thus excluded from the 8 9 operative SAC.

205. 10 Answering paragraph 205, this paragraph sets forth allegations that have 11 been previously dismissed without leave by this Court pursuant to its Dismissal Order, and which are no longer at issue for purposes of the SAC and thus excluded from the 12 operative SAC. 13

II. 14 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION** 15 42 U.S.C. § 1983: Monell Municipal Liability For 16 **Deliberate Indifference of Serious Medical Needs** 17 (By Plaintiffs Against Defendant County and Liberty Healthcare of California, 18 19 Inc.) 206. Answering paragraph 205, County Defendants reiterate and incorporate 20 by reference their admissions and denials as set forth above and below. 21 207. Answering paragraph 206, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and 22 questions of law to which no response is required. 23 208. Answering paragraph 207, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and 24 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required, 25 County Defendants deny the allegations. County Defendants deny generally and 26 specifically each and every allegation contained therein. 27 Answering paragraph 208, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and 209. 28 43 25163 Palomar Airport Rd., Suite ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
 County Defendants deny the allegations. County Defendants deny generally and
 specifically each and every allegation contained therein.

4 210. Answering paragraph 209, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
5 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
6 County Defendants deny the allegations. County Defendants deny generally and
7 specifically each and every allegation contained therein.

8 211. Answering paragraph 210, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
9 questions of law to which no response is required. To the extent an answer is required,
10 County Defendants deny the allegations. County Defendants deny generally and
11 specifically each and every allegation contained therein.

12 212. Answering paragraph 211, County Defendants deny generally and13 specifically each and every allegation contained therein.

14 213. Answering paragraph 212, County Defendants deny generally and15 specifically each and every allegation contained therein.

16 214. Answering paragraph 213, County Defendants deny generally and17 specifically each and every allegation contained therein.

18 215. Answering paragraph 214, County Defendants deny generally and19 specifically each and every allegation contained therein.

20 216. Answering paragraph 215, County Defendants deny generally and
21 specifically each and every allegation contained therein.

22 217. Answering paragraph 216, County Defendants deny generally and
23 specifically each and every allegation contained therein.

24 218. Answering paragraph 217, County Defendants deny generally and
25 specifically each and every allegation contained therein.

26 219. Answering paragraph 218, County Defendants deny generally and
27 specifically each and every allegation contained therein.

28 || ///

25163

COLLINS + COLLINS up 2011 Palomar Airport Rd., Suite 207, Carlsbad, CA 92011 T: (760) 274-2100 F: (760) 274-2111

220. Answering paragraph 219, County Defendants deny generally and
 specifically each and every allegation contained therein.

3 221. Answering paragraph 220, County Defendants deny generally and
4 specifically each and every allegation contained therein.

5 222. Answering paragraph 221, County Defendants deny generally and
6 specifically each and every allegation contained therein.

7 223. Answering paragraph 222, County Defendants deny generally and
8 specifically each and every allegation contained therein.

9 224. Answering paragraph 223, County Defendants deny generally and
10 specifically each and every allegation contained therein.

11 225. Answering paragraph 224, County Defendants deny generally and
12 specifically each and every allegation contained therein.

13 226. Answering paragraph 225, County Defendants deny generally and
14 specifically each and every allegation contained therein.

15 227. Answering paragraph 226, County Defendants deny generally and16 specifically each and every allegation contained therein.

17 228. Answering paragraph 227. County Defendants deny generally and18 specifically each and every allegation contained therein.

19 229. Answering paragraph 228, County Defendants deny generally and20 specifically each and every allegation contained therein.

21 230. Answering paragraph 229, County Defendants deny generally and
22 specifically each and every allegation contained therein.

23 231. Answering paragraph 230, County Defendants deny generally and
24 specifically each and every allegation contained therein.

- 25 ////
- 26 ///
- 27 ////
- 28 ////

25163

COLLINS + COLLINS up 2011 Palomar Airport Rd., Suite 207, Carlsbad, CA 92011 T: (760) 274-2100 F: (760) 274-2111

ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

Case	3:23-cv-01357-CAB-BLM Document 147 Filed 10/08/24 PageID.2727 Page 46 of 55
1	III.
2	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
3	(By Plaintiffs Against County Defendants, Liberty Healthcare Corporation, and
4	Cruz)
5	232. Answering paragraph 231, County Defendants reiterate and incorporate
6	by reference their admissions and denials as set forth above and below.
7	233. Answering paragraph 232, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
8	questions of law to which no response is required.
9	234. Answering paragraph 233, County Defendants deny generally and
10	specifically each and every allegation contained therein.
11	235. Answering paragraph 234, County Defendants deny generally and
12	specifically each and every allegation contained therein.
13	236. Answering paragraph 235, County Defendants deny generally and
14	specifically each and every allegation contained therein.
15	237. Answering paragraph 236, County Defendants deny generally and
16	specifically each and every allegation contained therein.
17	238. Answering paragraph 237, County Defendants deny generally and
18	specifically each and every allegation contained therein.
19	239. Answering paragraph 238, County Defendants deny generally and
20	specifically each and every allegation contained therein.
21	240. Answering paragraph 239, County Defendants deny generally and
22	specifically each and every allegation contained therein.
23 24	
24	
23 26	
20	
27	
	25163 46
2011 Palomar Airport Rd., Suite 207, Carlsbad, CA 92011 T: (760) 274-2100 F: (760) 274-2111	ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

1	IV.
2	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION
3	Failure to Properly Train (42 U.S.C. § 1983)
4	(By the Estate of Lonnie Rupard Against Defendants Montgomery, Gore,
5	Martinez, CHP, Liberty Healthcare Corporation, Anosike, Cruz, Defendant
6	Deputies, Doe Deputies, Doe Medical Providers, Doe Deputy Supervisors.)
7	241. Answering paragraphs 240-258, this cause of action was dismissed per
8	the Court's order to which no response is required.
9	V.
10	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
11	Cal. Gov. Code § 845.6 (Failure to Summon Medical Care)
12	(By the Estate of Lonnie Rupard Against Defendants County, Montgomery,
13	Gore, Martinez, CHP, Liberty Healthcare Corporation, Anosike, Cruz,
14	Defendant Deputies, Doe Deputies, Doe Medical Providers, Doe Deputy
15	Supervisors)
16	242. Answering paragraphs 259-268, this cause of action was dismissed per
17	the Court's order to which no response is required.
18	VI.
19	SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION
20	Cal. Gov. Code § 52.1 (Bane Act)
21	(By the Estate of Lonnie Rupard Against Defendants County, Montgomery,
22	Gore, Martinez, CHP, Liberty Healthcare Corporation, Anosike, Cruz,
23	Defendant Deputies, Doe Deputies, Doe Medical Providers, Doe Deputy
24	Supervisors)
25	243. Answering paragraphs 269-276, this cause of action was dismissed per
26	the Court's order to which no response is required.
27	///
28	///
COLLINS + COLLINS 2011 Palomar Airport Rd., Suite 207, Carisbad, CA 92011 T: (760) 274-2100 F: (760) 274-2111	25163 47 ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

Case	3:23-cv-01357-CAB-BLM Document 147 Filed 10/08/24 PageID.2729 Page 48 of 55
1	VII.
2	SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
3	Wrongful Death
4	(By Plaintiffs Against All Defendants)
5	244. Answering paragraph 277, County Defendants reiterate and incorporate
6	by reference their admissions and denials as set forth above and below.
7	245. Answering paragraph 278, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
8	questions of law to which no response is required.
9	246. Answering paragraph 279, County Defendants deny generally and
10	specifically each and every allegation contained therein.
11	247. Answering paragraph 280, County Defendants deny generally and
12	specifically each and every allegation contained therein.
13	248. Answering paragraph 281, County Defendants deny generally and
14	specifically each and every allegation contained therein.
15	249. Answering paragraph 282, County Defendants deny generally and
16	specifically each and every allegation contained therein.
17	250. Answering paragraph 283, County Defendants deny generally and
18	specifically each and every allegation contained therein.
19	251. Answering paragraph 284, this paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and
20	questions of law to which no response is required.
21	VIII.
22	EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION
23	Dependent Adult Neglect
24	(By the Estate of Lonnie Rupard Against Defendants Montgomery, CHP,
25	Liberty Healthcare Corporation, Anosike, Cruz, Doe Medical Providers)
26	252. Answering paragraphs 285-292, this cause of action was dismissed per
27	the Court's order to which no response is required.
28	///
LINS Rd., Suite	25163 48 ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT
011	ANDWER TO I DAIMIN'S SECOND AMENDED COMI DAIMI

COLLINS + COLLIN 2011 Palomar Airport Rd., 207, Carlsbad, CA 92011 T: (760) 274-2100 F: (760) 274-2111

	49 of 55
1	IX.
2	NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION
3	Negligence
4	(By the Estate of Lonnie Rupard Against Defendants Montgomery, CHP,
5	Liberty Healthcare Corporation, Anosike, Cruz, Doe Medical Providers)
6	253. Answering paragraphs 293-301, this cause of action was dismissed per
7	the Court's order to which no response is required.
8	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES
9	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
10	(Failure to State a Cause of Action)
11	1. Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint fails to state a cause of action
12	against County Defendants and/or fails to state a claim against County Defendants
13	upon which relief can be granted.
14	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
15	(Qualified Immunity)
16	2. Defendant County employees are entitled to qualified immunity against
17	Plaintiffs' claims. Defendant County employees acted in an objectively reasonable
18	manner and are entitled to qualified immunity as a defense to actions brought as civil
19	rights violations under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
20	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
21	(Absolute Immunity)
22	3. County Defendants are entitled to absolute immunity against Plaintiffs'
23	claims.
24	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
25	(Doctrine of Respondeat Superior Inapplicable)
26	4. The doctrine of respondeat superior is not applicable to claims under 42
27	U.S.C. § 1983.
28	
COLLINS + COLLINS 2011 Palomar Airport Rd., Suite 207, Carlsbad, CA 92011 T: (760) 274-2100 F: (760) 274-2111	25163 49 ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

Case	3:23-cv-01357-CAB-BLM

	50 of 55
1	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2	(Good Faith)
3	5. At all times mentioned in the Second Amended Complaint, Deputy
4	Defendants were employed by the County of San Diego and actin in their respective
5	official capacities. Their alleged actions, if any, were made in good faith, without
6	malice and/or performed with the reasonable belief that those actions were authorized
7	by and in accord with existing law and authority.
8	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
9	(No Law Was Violated)
10	6. Deputy Defendants did not deprive Plaintiffs of any of their rights,
11	privileges, or immunities secured by the California State Constitution, California State
12	laws, the United States Constitution, or laws of the United States.
13	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
14	(Simple Negligence)
15	7. Under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, simple negligence does not constitute a violation
16	of federal civil rights. Parratt v. Taylor (1981) 451 U.S. 527
17	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
18	(No Reckless Disregard of Deliberate Indifference)
19	8. Answering Defendants did not act with reckless disregard or deliberate
20	indifference.
21	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
22	(Failure to Mitigate Damages)
23	9. Plaintiffs and/or Plaintiffs' decedent failed to mitigate or attempt to
24	mitigate damages, therefore if in fact any damages have been sustained, any recovery
25	by Plaintiffs should be diminished or barred.
26	<u>TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>
27	(Failure to Exhaust Administrative/State Remedies)
28	10. Plaintiffs failed to exhaust their remedies available under federal, state, or
COLLINS + COLLINS up 2011 Palomar Airport Rd., Suite 207, Carlsbad, CA 92011 T: (760) 274-2100 F: (760) 274-2111	25163 50 ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

1 administrative law as to some or all of their claims. Plaintiffs failed to timely submit government claims as required under the Government Claims Act. Plaintiffs failed to 2 comply with required procedures for late claims under the Government Claims Act. 3 4 **ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE** 5 (Statute of Limitations) 6 11. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the applicable statute 7 of limitations. **TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE** 8 (Unclean Hands) 9 These answering Defendants are informed and believe and based thereon 12. 10 11 allege that Plaintiffs' claims are barred by the doctrine of unclean hands. 12 THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 13 (Laches) 13. Plaintiffs' claims are barred by the doctrine of laches. Plaintiffs 14 unreasonably delayed in bringing this action premised on the alleged incidents on or 15 16 around March 17, 2022. 17 FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Comparative Fault of Plaintiff and/or Third Parties) 18 19 14. The damages alleged were directly and proximately caused and contributed to by the negligence and/or fault of persons other than these answering 20 Defendants, and the extent of damages sustained, if any, should be reduced and 21 proportioned to the amount of said negligence and/or fault. These answering 22 Defendants allege that as to all causes of action, Plaintiffs' harm, if any, was caused 23 either by Decedent's own negligence or other misconduct or other improper acts, or by 24 the negligence or other misconduct and improper acts of other named Defendants or 25 other third parties not named in this lawsuit, and not by the conduct of answering 26 Defendants. The damages sustained by Plaintiffs, if any, were caused by the acts of 27 Decedent, which bar and/or diminish Plaintiffs' recovery, if any, against these 28 51 25163

1 answering Defendants. Decedent was careless, negligent, or otherwise at fault in conducting his activities in connection with the events which are alleged in the Second 2 Amended Complaint, and, as a direct and proximate result, Plaintiffs are barred, in 3 4 whole or in part, from any recovery in this action. Accordingly, Plaintiffs' recovery, if 5 any, should be precluded or reduced in proportion to their negligence and fault. Additionally, the damages sustained by Plaintiffs, if any, were caused by the acts of 6 7 others, which bar and/or diminish Plaintiffs' recovery, if any, against these answering 8 Defendants. Decedent, Plaintiffs' representatives, third persons, and/or the other 9 possible Defendants herein were careless and negligent in and about the matters alleged in the Second Amended Complaint, and such carelessness and negligence proximately 10 11 contributed to the happening of the injuries and damage, if any, complained of by 12 Plaintiffs. Accordingly, any damages awarded to Plaintiffs against these answering 13 Defendants must be reduced proportionately by the respective degrees of negligence of Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs' representatives, third persons, and/or the other possible 14 Defendants herein. 15

### FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

## (Superseding Causes)

18 15. If Plaintiffs suffered or sustained any loss, injury, damage or detriment,
19 the same was directly and proximately caused and contributed to by superseding
20 actions of other parties, either served or not yet served, either known or unknown, and
21 not these answering Defendants and such strict liability, breach of warranty, conduct,
22 acts, omissions, activities, carelessness, recklessness and negligence of said other
23 parties bars recovery of Plaintiffs herein.

24

16

17

25

25163

# SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

## (Equitable Estoppel)

16. These answering Defendants are informed and believe and based thereon
allege that Plaintiffs' SAC is barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of equitable
estoppel, including as to representations made in the Claim For Damages filed by

Case	3:23-cv-01357-CAB-BLM
------	-----------------------

Document 147 53 of 55

Filed 10/08/24 PageID.2734

4	Page	
4	Page	

1	Plaintiffs.
2	SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
3	(Single Incident)
4	17. An unconstitutional policy, practice, or custom of Municipal Defendant
5	cannot be established by a single incident. <i>Trevino v. Gates</i> , 99 F.3d 911 (9th Cir.
6	1996).
7	EIGTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
8	(Failure to Establish Official Custom or Practice)
9	18. Plaintiffs' Complaint fails to establish any official custom or practice held
10	by Defendant County which posed a risk of harm to Plaintiffs.
11	NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
12	(No Official Government Policy)
13	19. Plaintiffs' Complaint fails to establish a cause of action because these
14	answering Defendants did not act pursuant to an official government policy, practice,
15	custom, or procedure that violated Plaintiffs' constitutionally protected rights.
16	TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
17	(Government Code Immunities)
18	20. Plaintiffs' action is barred by all applicable Government Code
19	immunities, including but not limited to, Sections 815.6, 818.2, 818.6, 818.8, 820,
20	820.8, 821, 821.4, 821.6, 822.2, 844.6, 845, 845.6, 845.8(b) and 846. Said sections are
21	pleaded as though fully set forth herein.
22	TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
23	(Award of Damages)
24	21. Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint fails to state a claim against these
25	answering Defendants upon which an award of damages can be based, including
26	punitive damages.
27	///
28	///
COLLINS + COLLINS up 2011 Palomar Airport Rd., Suite 207, Carisbad, CA 92011 T: (760) 274-2100 F: (760) 274-2111	25163     53       ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

Case	3:23-cv-01357-CAB-BLM Document 147 Filed 10/08/24 PageID.2735 Page 54 of 55
1	TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2	(Lack of Tort Claim Compliance/Exhaustion)
3	22. Plaintiffs failed to comply with the claims filing requirements for actions
4	against public entities and/or their employees. Plaintiffs failed to comply with the
5	applicable provisions of the California Government Tort Claims Act set forth in
6	California Government Code Sections 905, et seq. and as such lack standing to bring
7	the claims set forth in the SAC.
8	TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
9	(Variance)
10	23. These answering Defendants allege that, to the extent Plaintiffs presented a
11	valid government tort claim, there is a material variance between the theories and
12	claims in Plaintiff's government tort claim and the state law causes of action in the
13	SAC and the government tort claim failed to plead material facts contained in the SAC.
14	<b>TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</b>
15	(Lack of Standing)
16	24. These answering Defendants allege that Plaintiffs and/or their
17	representatives lack standing to bring the claims alleged in the SAC under state law
18	and federal law.
18 19	and federal law. <u>TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>
19	TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
19 20	<u>TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u> (Reservation)
19 20 21	<u>TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u> (Reservation) 25.Answering Defendants cannot fully anticipate all affirmative defenses that
19 20 21 22	TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE         (Reservation)         25.Answering Defendants cannot fully anticipate all affirmative defenses that may be applicable to this action based upon the conclusory and general terms
19 20 21 22 23	TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE         (Reservation)         25.Answering Defendants cannot fully anticipate all affirmative defenses that may be applicable to this action based upon the conclusory and general terms used in the Second Amended Complaint. Accordingly, these answering
19 20 21 22 23 24	TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE         (Reservation)         25.Answering Defendants cannot fully anticipate all affirmative defenses that may be applicable to this action based upon the conclusory and general terms used in the Second Amended Complaint. Accordingly, these answering Defendants reserve the right to assert the additional defenses as applicable.
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Reservation)         25.Answering Defendants cannot fully anticipate all affirmative defenses that may be applicable to this action based upon the conclusory and general terms used in the Second Amended Complaint. Accordingly, these answering Defendants reserve the right to assert the additional defenses as applicable.         WHEREFORE, these answering Defendants pray for judgment as follows:
<ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Reservation)25.Answering Defendants cannot fully anticipate all affirmative defenses that may be applicable to this action based upon the conclusory and general terms used in the Second Amended Complaint. Accordingly, these answering Defendants reserve the right to assert the additional defenses as applicable.WHEREFORE, these answering Defendants pray for judgment as follows:1.That Plaintiffs take nothing by this action;
<ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ol>	<ul> <li><u>TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u> (Reservation)</li> <li>25.Answering Defendants cannot fully anticipate all affirmative defenses that may be applicable to this action based upon the conclusory and general terms used in the Second Amended Complaint. Accordingly, these answering Defendants reserve the right to assert the additional defenses as applicable.</li> <li>WHEREFORE, these answering Defendants pray for judgment as follows:</li> <li>1. That Plaintiffs take nothing by this action;</li> <li>2. That the action be dismissed;</li> </ul>

COLLINS + COLLIN
2011 Palomar Airport Rd.,
207, Carlsbad, CA 92011
T: (760) 274-2100
F: (760) 274-2111

Case	3:23-cv-01357-CAB-BLM	Document 147 55 of 55	Filed 10/08/24	PageID.2736	Page	

1	4. That Defendants be awarded such other and further relief as the Cou		
2	may deem just and proper, including an award of attorney's fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C.		
3	§ 1988.		
4			
5	DATED: October 8, 2024 COLLINS + COLLINS LLP		
6	$\square$		
7	By:		
8	RADA FELDMAN		
9	ADAM A. AINSLIE SOFIA TORREZ		
10	Attorneys for Defendants		
11	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, BILL GORE, KELLY MARTINEZ, JON		
12	MONTGOMERY, CHRISTINA		
13	GOODALL (sued as CHRISTINA ANOSIKE), MIGUEL AGUILERA,		
14	JASON VILADIU, GUSTAVO		
15	MARTINEZ, JEFF AMADO, MICHAEL MOSER, ERNESTO AGUIRRE,		
16	TREYVONNE JAMES (sued in duplicate		
17	J. TREYVONNE), BERNARDO ROMERO, MICHAEL JOHNSON,		
18	ANDREW TORRES, ALLEN		
19	WERESKI, BLADE ROMANS, AND LINDA GUTIERREZ		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	25163 55		
<b>COLLINS + COLLINS</b> 2011 Palomar Airport Rd., Suite 207, Carlsbad, CA 32011 T: (760) 274-2100 F: (760) 274-2111	ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT		